

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/870,965	05/31/2001	John Lacombe	1662-30400 JMH (P00-2943)	9110	
22879	7590 05/23/2005	3	EXAM	EXAMINER	
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD			PATEL,	PATEL, NITIN C	
	UAL PROPERTY AD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COLL	INS, CO 80527-240	•	2116		

DATE MAILED: 05/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	
X:	
4	-
4	-

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/870,965	LACOMBE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nitin C. Patel	2116	

		2110						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 13 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compfollowing time periods:	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of s application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which aces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of								
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered	necause					
(a)⊠ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		occause					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for					
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially it	saucing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.						
NOTE: Please refer the continuation sheet. (See	37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) :		Ì					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.								
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:		-						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after of	entry is below or attac	ched.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)						
13. Other:								
			BROWNE					
		SUPERVISORY PA	TENT EXAMINE CENTER 2100					



Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation sheet: The newly added limitations "to reset the at least one application without resetting the operating system" will requires further search for considerations as it changes the scope of the claim to initiate a reset procedure by resetting at least one application without resetting the operating system.